



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

2841

PATENT

Att'y Docket No. IBM/173/124
Confirmation No. 9502

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to: Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on: August 1, 2002.


Scott A. Stinebruner

Reg. No. 38,323

DATE

1 AUG 2002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Scott Lee Christopherson et al.

Art Unit: 2841

Serial No.: 09/747,245

Examiner: Tuan T. Dinh

Filed: December 21, 2000

For: PROTECTION FOR RADIATED ELECTROMAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY
DURING CONCURRENT MAINTENANCE

Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. ☒ Transmitted herewith is an Amendment and Response.
2. ☐ Small Entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- ☐ Enclosed is a verified statement to establish Small Entity status
- ☒ Other than a Small Entity

3. The fee has been calculated as shown below:

CALCULATION OF FEES

Fee:	Number of Claims After Amendment:		Previously Paid For:	No. Extra:	At Rate:	Amount:
Total Claims	10	minus	20	0	\$18	\$0.00
Independent Claims	2	minus	3	0	\$84	\$0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM FEE					\$280	\$0.00
TOTAL FEE FOR CLAIMS:						\$0.00

- ☒ No additional fee for claims is required.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

4. ☐ Attached is a check in the sum of \$_____ for additional claims.
☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 23-3000 in the amount of \$_____.

5. **The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply. Complete (a) or (b) as applicable.**

- ☐ (a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 for the total number of months checked below:

	<u>Ext. Mos.</u>	<u>Large entity</u>	<u>Small entity</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	four months	\$1,440.00	\$ 720.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	five months	\$1,960.00	\$ 980.00

Extension fee due with this request:

\$ _____

Method of Payment:

Check enclosed in the amount of \$ _____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(Check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured and the fee paid thereof of \$_____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request \$_____.

OR


- ☒ (b) **Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.**

6. ☒ **If any additional fee for claims or extension of time is required, charge Account No. 23-3000.**

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

By:



Scott A. Stinebruner

Reg. No. 38,323

2700 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-2917
(513) 241-2324

Enclosed:

Amendment Transmittal (in duplicate) containing Certificate of Mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8
Amendment and Response
Reply Postcard



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

PATENT

IBM/173
Confirmation No. 9502

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Scott Lee Christopherson et al. Art Unit: 2841
Serial No.: 09/747,245 Examiner: Tuan T. Dinh
Filed: December 21, 2000 Atty. Docket No.: IBM/173
For: PROTECTION FOR RADIATED ELECTROMAGNETIC
SUSCEPTIBILITY DURING CONCURRENT MAINTENANCE

#8/13
9/3/02
JUN

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated May 2, 2002, the following amendments and remarks are provided:

Amendments

In the Claims

Please cancel claims 4-6 without prejudice.

REMARKS

This paper is submitted in reply to the Office Action dated May 2, 2002, within the three-month period for response. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested.

In the subject Office Action, claims 4-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph. Moreover, claims 1-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,436,803 to Annis et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,042,426 to Platt et al. Additionally, the Examiner objected to the drawings for failure to show every feature of the claimed invention specified in the claims, specifically, in claims 4-6.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800